

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/915,776	07/26/2001	Hyesook Kim	3087.00005	6900
7590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER		
KOHN & ASSOCIATES			SNEDDEN, SHERIDAN	
Suite 410 30500 Northwestern Highway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Farmington Hills, MI 48334			1653	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/915,776	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sheridan K Snedden	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
P riod for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repolar within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  15 from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,10-13,15 and 16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 10-13, 15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 August 2003</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the service of the servic	ts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been received in Apporting the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § st sentence of the specification ovisional application has been in priority under 35 U.S.C. §	plication No seceived in this National Stage seceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) ion or in an Application Data Sheet. In received. In received. In 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	, <u> </u>	(OTO 440) B M=/-)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/915,776 Page 2

Art Unit: 1653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-3, 10-13, 15 and 16 are currently pending. Applicant's amendment to claims 1, 10, 15 filed 26 August 2003 is acknowledged.

## Withdrawal of Objections and Rejections

2. The objections and/or rejections not explicitly restated or stated below are withdrawn.

### Maintained Objections and Rejections

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cassina *et al.* Cassina *et al.* teach a method for assessing oxidative stress by measuring nitrated cytochrome c, obtained from an *in vivo* source. On page 21412 (first paragraph and figure 4), Cassina *et al.* teach that increased oxidative stress resulting from higher concentrations of peroxynitrite lead to the dimerization, or covalent polymerization, of nitrated cytochrome c (regarding claim 1-3 and 15-16). Thus, the reference anticipates the claimed invention.

Applicant's arguments filed 26 August 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant urges that dimerization does not necessarily from covalent bonds and non-

Art Unit: 1653

covalent types of dimers could exist in the teachings of Cassina *et al*. Additionally, applicant argues that dimer of Cassina *et al*. could be an aggregate. However, Cassina *et al*. at page 21412 teaches nitrated, dimerized cytochrome c. Applicant's arguments provide no factual evidence to the contrary and are thus unpersuasive.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 10-13 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cassina *et al.* in view of Ahern (The Scientist, 1995, Vol 9, No: 15, page 20).

Cassina *et al.* teach a method for assessing oxidative stress by measuring nitrated cytochrome c, obtained from an *in vivo* source. On page 21412 (first paragraph and figure 4), Cassina *et al.* teach that increased oxidative stress resulting from higher concentrations of peroxynitrite lead to the dimerization, or covalent polymerization, of nitrated cytochrome c (regarding claim 1-3 and 15-16). As indicated in the method steps producing the results of figure 4, Cassina *et al.* performed SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis to the determine the extend of nitration and polymerization (regarding claims 10-13).

Ahern teach the benefits of an assay kit. Ahern teach that providing reagents and method step in a ready made kit allowed scientist to save time and money, especially when conducting standard lab protocols.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,776

Art Unit: 1653

Taken together, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the

Page 4

time the invention was made to supply the materials and instructions of the method taught by

Cassina et al. The person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare a kit

in order to save time and money. The person of ordinary skill in the art would have expected

success in preparing the kit as the steps performed and materials utilized are standard in the art.

Thus, the claimed invention was within the ordinary skill in the art to make and use at the time it

was made and was as a whole, prima facie obvious.

Applicant's arguments filed 26 August 2003, have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. Applicant urges that dimerization does not necessarily from covalent bonds and non-

covalent types of dimers could exist in the teachings of Cassina et al. Additionally, applicant

argues that dimer of Cassina et al. could be an aggregate. Finally, applicant argues that there is

no suggestion in the prior art for a kit of the method taught by Cassina et al.

Applicant's arguments are considered but not found to be persuasive. Cassina et al. at

page 21412 teaches nitrated, dimerized cytochrome c. Applicant's arguments provide no factual

evidence to the contrary and are thus unpersuasive. In addition, Cassina et al. provides all the

steps and materials necessary for development of a kit as taught by Ahren. Ahren teaches and

suggest packaging scientific methods in the form of a kit for commercial use. Thus, the claims

are anticipated and obvious.

Conclusion

5. No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,776

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan K Snedden whose telephone number is (703) 305-4843. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone number for regular communications to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-3975.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SKS

November 10, 2003

SKS

KAHEN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5